



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/017,213	12/14/2001	Scott R. Smith	S13.12-0111	1208
26181 7590 01/02/2008 FISH & RICHARDSON P.C. PO BOX 1022 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55440-1022				
			EXAMINER MEHTA, PARIKHA SOLANKI	
			ART UNIT 3737	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 01/02/2008	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

#

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/017,213	SMITH, SCOTT R.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Parikha S. Mehta	3737	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Parikha S. Mehta.

(3) Brenda Leeds Binder.

(2) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 03 December 2007.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____

Claim(s) discussed: 1-85.

Identification of prior art discussed: Ocali (USPN 5,928,145) and Halperin (USPN 6,701,176).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.



Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.


Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant proposed amendments to the claims in order to overcome the previous rejection (see attachment for draft version of proposed amendments). Applicant contends that the distinguishing feature over the prior art is that, in the inventive system, while one conductor occludes and/or ablates the target tissue, the second conductor continuously images. Examiner notes that the Ocali antenna are capable of both receiving and emitting MR signals (col. 17 lines 54-59) with simultaneous ablation (Abstract), which reads upon the claimed first and second conductors, and Halperin additionally teaches simultaneous imaging and local enhancement of the image (Figs. 1 & 2, col. 5 lines 43-60). Applicant suggested that the combination of the two references would constitute improper hindsight, however Examiner maintains that the combination would in fact be proper as both references are directed towards the same field of endeavor of MR imaging and ablation catheters.